OBLIGATIONS TO PREVENT

The UN Convention Against Torture (UNCAT) obligates all States parties to take ‘effective legislative, administrative, judicial and other measures to prevent torture’ (Article 2(1)). This is also ‘indivisible, interdependent and interrelated’ with respect to the prevention of cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment (per Article 16). Prevention can be direct (reducing risk and addressing causes) and indirect (deterrence through investigation and prosecution; see respective factsheets).

The obligation to prevent torture is binding, absolute and without exception. The persistence of torture in the face of decades of concerted international efforts towards its prevention and eradication is indicative of the complexities involved. As the following set out, prevention is an oversight and addressing causes targeted at the full continuum of state use of force, whether in or outside detention.

Additional obligations to prevent torture are found in the UNCAT, namely in articles 3, 10 and 11. Article 3 embodies the principle of non-refoulement which prohibits states from returning or extraditing a person to another state where there is a real risk of that person being subject to torture (see Torture and Migration factsheet). Article 10 requires states to educate and inform its law enforcement personnel, civil or military, medical personnel, public officials involved in the custody, interrogation or treatment of the prohibition against torture. Article 11 requires that interrogation rules, instructions, methods and practices as well as arrangements for custody and treatment are kept under systematic review with a view to preventing torture.

PRE-TRIAL DETENTION

Excessive periods of pre-trial detention expose detainees to gross violations of internationally and regionally enshrined human rights. Primarily, the conditions of pre-trial detention (overcrowding and poor physical conditions) generally also strengthen the link between the excessive use of pre-trial detention and torture and cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment. This means that if fewer people are kept in detention fewer people would be exposed to such a risk. Therefore, pretrial detention should be used ‘as a last resort, for the shortest time possible, and only for the most serious offences’. Related violations include freedom from arbitrary arrest or detention, the right to a fair and public trial, without due delay and the right to be presumed innocent (See factsheet on ‘Pre-Trial Detention’).

Effective judicial oversight, namely the right to be brought before a judge within a short time after arrest, is not of any less importance. A maximum period of 48 hours’ police custody is generally accepted as best practice. Bail (the practice of releasing suspects pending trial, conditionally or not) should be a presumed right, rebuttable where risks of non-appearance at trial, further offending or obstructing justice exist.

DETENTION MONITORING & STANDARDS

Regular monitoring places of detention by an independent national preventive mechanism provides checks on relevant risk factors. This is promoted by the Optional Protocol to the UNCAT. Establishing clear and detailed detention procedures and standards, in accordance with the UN Nelson Mandela Rules as a minimum, are necessary.

See the relevant, separate factsheets.

TRINITY OF RIGHTS: LEGAL SAFEGUARDS IN POLICE CUSTODY

The first hours in police custody are generally recognised as entailing the greatest risk of torture and CIDTP: Recognising such ill-treatment thrives in the absence of transparency, a trinity of rights (funding oversight and thereby limiting opportunity for perpetration) have proven paramount to preventing torture in practice.

The trinity of legal safeguards includes

i. the right to a lawyer,

ii. the right to a doctor, and

iii. the right to notify a relative or a third party of choice.

Persons must be informed of and afforded their rights promptly, independently and freely. The significance of these rights have been widely-recognised. (See further factsheet on ‘Safeguards in Police Custody’).

STRUCTURAL CHALLENGES

There exists a multitude of causes for torture on a multitude of plains: individual, institutional, cultural and situational. Public institutions, such as the police and courts, may not be structured or equipped to adequately protect human rights, for reasons of susceptibility to political interference, being under-resourced or merely unaware that their practice is not lawful under international law. Community understanding and expectations also naturally inform such practices.

The notion of progressive realisation, namely that state obligations could be legitimately dependent on the stage of development of the country or available economic resources, does not apply to the prevention and prohibition of torture, or indeed any of the obligations under the UN Convention Against Torture.

As a side, the importance of related and indivisible rights such as the right to life, liberty and security of the person, the right to be treated with dignity, fair trial and the right to private life, to name but a few, must also be respected to ensure that the freedom from torture is realised.
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